

Nate Biscotti

From: Testimony
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2026 9:38 AM
To: Barbara Alsop; Testimony
Subject: RE: [External] About the ICE incident and safety

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Thank you, Barbara. This has been received and will be shared and posted for the record.

Best,
Megan

Megan Cannella | she/her
Supervisor of Committee Services
Senate Transportation Committee, Room 3
Office of Legislative Counsel
Vermont General Assembly

Attention Witnesses: If you have documents to accompany testimony, please submit as a PDF at least 24 hours in advance of your scheduled testimony. Should you require accessibility services, please [click here](#) and note that requests should be made 48 hours in advance of testimony.

First time testifying? See [Information for Witnesses here](#).

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Alsop <bhalsop@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2026 6:27 PM
To: Testimony <testimony@vtleg.gov>
Subject: [External] About the ICE incident and safety

[External]

I have a concern I have not seen discussed elsewhere. The incident went on all day because ICE had to get a warrant to be able to enter the house in question. To get a warrant, an ICE agent had to testify truthfully. Courts across the country are decrying the untruths told by ICE agents under oath. How can we trust a warrant based solely on the testimony of ICE agents who have shown a willingness to lie under oath?

Our police officers accepted that warrant and acted accordingly. What recourse do we have?

Barbie Alsop
Sent from my iPhone

This message has originated from an External Source. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.